

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademerk Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/507,093	02/17/2000	Hyung-sik Choi	YPLA0002	7685	
75	590 01/29/2003				
J.C. PATENTS			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Suite 250 4 Venture			KEMPER, M	KEMPER, MELANIE A	
Irvine, CA 926	518		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

'	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/507,093	CHOI, HYUNG-SIK	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M Kemper	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official of the control of the contr	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	IS.
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 5.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	
10. Other:		Mile. P	
		M Kemper Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3622	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that Horstmann does not teach inserting at least one advertisement into at least a portion of a software program contained in a software product, by support from a advertising sponsor during the making of the software product. However, Horstmann does meet the claim limitation since, regardless of the source, advertisement(s) are inserted into at least a portion of the software program. The ad module is used to display an advertisement (which can also be downloaded and cached for repeated display) which is inserted during the execution of the program. Further, the claim language "by support from an advertising sponsor during the making of the software product" is not given patentable weight since it does not serve to further limit the advertising method steps. Inserting the advertisment into a portion of the software program can be performed by any method including that of Hortsmann given the broadest reasonable interpretation. The remaining limitations are addressed in the final office action..